

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

RONALD L. WORTHINGTON, JR.,

Defendant.

)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)
)

8:15CR222

ORDER

This matter is before the court on the motion to continue by defendant Ronald L. Worthington, Jr. (Worthington) (Filing No. 20). Worthington seeks a sixty-day continuance of the trial of this matter which was scheduled for February 1, 2016. Worthington's counsel represents Worthington will file an affidavit whereby Worthington consents to the motion and acknowledges he understands the additional time may be excludable time for the purposes of the Speedy Trial Act. Worthington's counsel represents that government's counsel has no objection to the motion. Upon consideration, the motion will be granted.

IT IS ORDERED:

1. Worthington's motion to continue trial (Filing No. 20) is granted.
2. Trial of this matter is re-scheduled for **March 28, 2016**, before Senior Judge Joseph F. Bataillon and a jury. The ends of justice have been served by granting such motion and outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. The additional **time** arising as a result of the granting of the motion, i.e., the time between **January 29, 2016, and March 28, 2016**, shall be deemed **excludable** time in any computation of time under the requirement of the Speedy Trial Act for the reason that defendant's counsel requires additional time to adequately prepare the case. The failure to grant additional time might result in a miscarriage of justice. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

DATED this 29th day of January, 2016.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Thomas D. Thalken
United States Magistrate Judge